Addendum No 6 Request for Proposals to Establish a Solid Waste Management Conversion Technology Facility Santa Barbara, California April 28, 2010 Page 1

ADDENDUM NO. 6

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS TO ESTABLISH A SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT CONVERSION TECHNOLOGY FACILITY SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA

April 28, 2010

This Addendum No. 6 provides answers to written questions submitted through April 27, 2010.

1. We are trying to understand what is the available site size for the base case. The Proposed Landfill Site is clearly understood. It is 5 acres. There is also available "proposed phase 2 west slope grading additional deck area", which is 1.26 acres, mentioned in section 2.1. That means there is 6.26 acres available if we do some grading. However, section 1.4 says that "Limited space is available in other areas of the Landfill should additional space be needed." Can you please confirm what the space is that is available to us, and is it part of the base case or do we need to propose an alternative case if we choose to use it? Will use of this additional space affect the annual lease payment?

As defined in the RFP, the "Potential Landfill Site" means, for purpose of preparing and submitting Base Case Proposals, the area at the Tajiguas Landfill property to be leased by the County to the Contractor for development of the Facility. This includes the area referred to as the "existing operations deck" (approximately 200,000 sf) and land immediately adjacent to the operations deck that would require grading by the Contractor referred to as "proposed phase 2 west slope grading additional deck area" (approximately 55,000 sf). In total, these areas are approximately 255,000 sf or approximately 5.85 acres.

Additional space is available in the back canyons, but would require additional construction (demolition, grading and/or fill) and permitting. We encourage proposers to be creative in achieving project space needs.

Some limited space is also available at the landfill in the vicinity of the scalehouse and maintenance facility. This area consists of 28,500 square feet or approximately 0.65 acres.

Proposers may supplement the Potential Landfill Site with any available area within the boundaries of the Tajiguas Landfill as part of the Base Case Proposal and may assume, for Proposal purposes that use of this additional space will not affect the Site Lease

Addendum No 6 Request for Proposals to Establish a Solid Waste Management Conversion Technology Facility Santa Barbara, California April 28, 2010 Page 2

Payment or the Regional Solid Waste System Fee (which encompasses the Site Lease Payment). Proposers must clearly delineate any additional area required for the project and must describe any permitting, construction and site preparation activities that will be completed to use that area.

Proposers are reminded that the additional landfill space in the vicinity of the scalehouse and maintenance facility is within the Coastal Zone; use of this area would impact project permitting.

We would also like to emphasize the fact that the County is flexible on the placement of roads and could adjust their location, size and direction according to project need.

2. Question #4 (of Addendum No. 3) indicates that Pricing proposal form 3 has been modified to remove the Site Lease Payment, the Contract Administration Payment, and the Grant Fund Payment from the listing of estimated annual O&M Costs, and Pricing Proposal Form 1 has been modified to account for these payments as part of a Regional Solid Waste System Fee. If these payments are not to be considered as part of the RFP. Will Section 5.2.3 Contractor Payments and Costs be omitted from Section 5?

No, the Site Lease Payment, the Contract Administration Payment, and the Grant Fund Payment will not be omitted from Section 5.2.3. These payments will be made by the Contractor to the County as part of the Regional Solid Waste System Fee, which shall be paid on all tons delivered to the Facility until the Fee is paid in full. For Proposal purposes, the Regional Solid Waste System Fee will not be included when considering the minimum criterion of \$100 or less per ton for processing Acceptable Waste. Proposers are referred to the answer to Question #2 and Clarification #3 of Addendum No. 5 (April 15, 2010).